Guidance for Industry Residual Drug in Transdermal and Related Drug Delivery Systems

DRAFT GUIDANCE

This guidance document is being distributed for comment purposes only.

Comments and suggestions regarding this draft document should be submitted within 90 days of publication in the *Federal Register* of the notice announcing the availability of the draft guidance. Submit comments to the Division of Dockets Management (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, 5630 Fishers Lane, rm. 1061, Rockville, MD 20852. All comments should be identified with the docket number listed in the notice of availability that publishes in the *Federal Register*.

For questions regarding this draft document contact (CDER) Terrance Ocheltree at 301-796-1988.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> August 2010 CMC

Guidance for Industry Residual Drug in Transdermal and Related Drug Delivery Systems

Additional copies are available from:
Office of Communications
Division of Drug Information, WO51, Room 2201
Center for Drug Evaluation and Research
Food and Drug Administration
10903 New Hampshire Ave.
Silver Spring, MD 20993-0002
Phone: 301-796-3400; Fax: 301-847-8714

druginfo@fda.hhs.gov www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm

> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Food and Drug Administration Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER)

> > August 2010 CMC

Draft — Not for Implementation

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND	2
III.	QUALITY BY DESIGN	2
IV.	MINIMIZING RESIDUAL DRUG	. 3

Draft — Not for Implementation

Guidance for Industry¹ Residual Drug in Transdermal and **Related Drug Delivery Systems**

This draft guidance, when finalized, will represent the Food and Drug Administration's (FDA's) current

thinking on this topic. It does not create or confer any rights for or on any person and does not operate to

bind FDA or the public. You can use an alternative approach if the approach satisfies the requirements of

the applicable statutes and regulations. If you want to discuss an alternative approach, contact the FDA

staff responsible for implementing this guidance. If you cannot identify the appropriate FDA staff, call

3 4

5

7

1

2

6 8 9

10 11 12

13 14

I. **INTRODUCTION**

the appropriate number listed on the title page of this guidance.

15 16 17

18

19

20

This guidance provides recommendations to developers and manufacturers of transdermal drug delivery systems (TDDS), transmucosal drug delivery systems (TMDS), and topical patch products regarding use of an appropriate scientific approach during product design and development—as well as during manufacturing and product lifecycle management—to ensure that the amount of residual drug substance at the end of the labeled use period is minimized.

21 22 23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

Existing TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches contain a larger amount of the drug substance than what is intended to be delivered to the patient. This excess amount of drug substance is needed to facilitate delivery of the intended amount of the drug to the patient and remains as residual drug in the used system. The amount of residual drug substance in TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches has a significant potential to impact the products' quality, safety, and efficacy. Consequently, it is necessary to ensure that an appropriate scientific approach is used to design and develop these products. The approach should ensure that the amount of residual drug substance is minimized consistent with the current state of technology. This guidance is applicable to investigational new drug applications (INDs), new drug applications (NDAs), abbreviated new drug applications (ANDAs), and supplemental new drug applications (sNDAs) for TDDS, TMDS, and topical patch products.

33 34 35

36

37

38

FDA's guidance documents, including this guidance, do not establish legally enforceable responsibilities. Instead, guidances describe the Agency's current thinking on a topic and should be viewed only as recommendations, unless specific regulatory or statutory requirements are cited. The use of the word should in Agency guidances means that something is suggested or recommended, but not required.

39 40

41

¹ This guidance has been prepared by the Office of Pharmaceutical Science in the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (CDER) at the Food and Drug Administration.

Draft — Not for Implementation

II. BACKGROUND

TDDS and TMDS are drug delivery devices designed to deliver at least one therapeutically active ingredient (drug substance) across the skin or mucosa, respectively, for systemic effect. The systems' design can range from drug-in-adhesive matrix systems to more complex systems that require microelectronics. These include passive systems (e.g., drug in patches, gels, foams, films, and spray-on films) and active systems (e.g., iontophoresis and sonophoresis). TDDS and TMDS offer advantages over other dosage forms by delivering prolonged, systemic drug levels to allow for simplified dosing regimens and overcome limitations in oral bioavailability or first-pass metabolism. Topical patches, on the other hand, contain a therapeutically active drug substance designed to provide a local effect.

Currently marketed TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches may retain 10-95 percent of the initial total amount of drug after the intended use period. This raises a potential safety issue not only to the patient, but also to others including family members, caregivers, children, and pets. For example, adverse events due to a patient's failure to remove TDDS at the end of the intended use period have been reported and are generally related to an increased or prolonged pharmacological effect of the drug. Some children have died from inadvertent exposure to discarded TDDS. Reported adverse events resulting from various quality problems pertaining to TDDS have lead to product recalls, withdrawals, and public health advisories.²

III. QUALITY BY DESIGN

To reduce some of these risks, we recommend that an enhanced design and development approach—specifically Quality by Design (QbD), as described in the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidance for industry O8(R2) Pharmaceutical Development—be used when developing and manufacturing TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches.³ QbD is a scientific, risk-based, and proactive approach to pharmaceutical process and product development. The application of QbD, including product monitoring, can lead to continual improvement of the product throughout its lifecycle. A QbD approach can facilitate the development of TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches designed to meet patient requirements and post-use considerations. In particular, it can aid in developing a product to deliver the optimum amount of drug across the skin while minimizing the amount of excess drug, thus resulting in the least possible amount of residual drug. ObD is applicable to both new products and reformulation of existing products. Another benefit of a QbD approach is that the higher level of understanding of the product and manufacturing process may assist in evaluating the effects of variations in raw materials and the manufacturing process on drug product quality. This includes, but is not limited to, drug product quality attributes and product performance characteristics such as drug permeation, adhesion, and application site reaction.

² See www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PublicHealthAdvisories/default.htm.

³ CDER updates guidances periodically. To make sure you have the most recent version of a guidance, check the FDA Drugs guidance web page at www.fda.gov/Drugs/GuidanceComplianceRegulatoryInformation/Guidances/default.htm.

Draft — Not for Implementation

IV. MINIMIZING RESIDUAL DRUG

We recognize that a surplus of drug substance is typically required in TDDS, TMDS, and topical patches to achieve and maintain the desired release rate of the drug substance throughout its usage period and for TDDS and TMDS to maintain the appropriate systemic drug levels. The choice of formulation, design, and system components may provide potential pathways to optimize drug delivery and minimize residual drug. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following: the use of penetration enhancers, use of self-depleting solvent systems, and judicious choice of adhesive. Other factors may include the type and concentration of excipients, drug load, adhesive thickness, and the composition and thickness of the backing layer.

We recommend that sufficient scientific justification to support the amount of residual drug in TDDS, TMDS, or topical patches be included in an application. This discussion of the product and process development and justification for the final formulation and system design can be provided in the 3.2.P.2 (Pharmaceutical Development) section of a <u>common technical document (CTD) formatted application</u>. The level of information in the justification should be sufficient to demonstrate product and process understanding and ensure that a scientific, risk-based approach has been taken to minimize the amount of residual drug in a system after use to the lowest possible level. Furthermore, it is expected that the amount of residual drug in a newly developed system will not exceed that of similar FDA-approved products.

-

⁴ See 21 CFR 314.50(d).